







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/735,002	12/12/2000	Michael D. Bullock	Y00-044	3382	
7	590 03/19/2003				
Law Offices of K. W. Float			EXAMINER		
Box 80790 Rancho Santa I	Margarita, CA 92688-07	90	DUONG, THANH P		
	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3711		
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/735,002	BULLOCK ET AL.	\mathcal{O}				
nance, y neuen	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tom P Duong	3711	,				
The MAILING DATE f this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE THEORY FILED 10 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THEORY FILED 10 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THEORY FILED THEORY	oid abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate the final originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	\$.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	•					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. ☐ Other:		Ameer					
	·	Paul T. Sewell					
	Sune	nvisory Patent Eva	minor				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Advisory Action Part of Paper No. 9



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Smith '415 in view of Hawkins, Sr. '228 suggests and/or teaches the claimed invention as stated in the final action. Applicant's argument is merely that there is no 102, i.e. no one reference anticipating the invention. That is not the rejection.